

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA  
HELENA DIVISION

MAKUEEYAPEE D. WHITFORD,

Plaintiff,

vs.

REGINALD D. MICHAEL, et al.,

Defendants.

Cause No. CV 20-80-H-SEH

ORDER

On November 2, 2020, Plaintiff Whitford (“Whitford”) filed a 187-page 42 U.S.C. § 1983 complaint alleging violation of civil rights. The Court, upon review, required and ordered an amended complaint.<sup>1</sup> Whitford was instructed that he “need only state what each defendant did, the approximate date of the occurrence, and how that action violated or caused a violation of a federal right.”<sup>2</sup>

Three extensions of time in which to file an amended complaint have been granted.<sup>3</sup> None was filed.

Whitford has been accorded extensive time to amend and, as noted, has not

---

<sup>1</sup> See Order (Doc. 8) at 2 ¶ 2.

<sup>2</sup> See Order (Doc. 8) at 2; Order (Doc. 10) at 1; *see also Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007).

<sup>3</sup> See Order (Doc. 8); Order (Doc. 10); and Order (Doc. 13).

done so. Dismissal is warranted.<sup>4</sup>

Whitford failed to respond to the Court's Order. Any appeal of this disposition would not be taken in good faith.<sup>5</sup>

**ORDERED:**

1. This action is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE for failure to comply with a court order.
2. The clerk shall enter, by separate document, a judgment of dismissal with prejudice.
3. The Court CERTIFIES that any appeal of this disposition would not be taken in good faith.

DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2021.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sam E. Haddon  
United States District Court

---

<sup>4</sup> See *McHenry v. Renne*, 84 F.3d 1172, 1179–80 (9th Cir. 1996).

<sup>5</sup> See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(4)(B).